Subject: Annexation DGEIS

From: Michael Donnelly [mailto:Michael.Donnelly@DDDLLPLaw.Com]

Sent: Monday, June 22, 2015 4:18 PM **To:** <u>tim@timmillerassociates.com</u>

Cc: Christine Tucker; Daniel Burke; Dennis McWatters; Gerry McQuade - Town of Monroe (gerard@monroeny.org); Harley Doles (supervisor@monroeny.org); Mary Ellen Beams

(maryellen@monroeny.org); Peter Tilem; Rick Colon; Richard J. Pearson, PE, PTOE; Bob Peake

Subject: Annexation DGEIS

I write to you as the attorney for the Town of Monroe. Under separate cover you have today received the comments of JMC, the consulting firm retained by the town to review the adequacy of the DGEIS. In addition to that which is contained in the report the comments below are suggestions for supplementation provided by individual town board members after reviewing the JMC report.

- The population numbers are large. Even a 164 annexation would increase growth by 12,630 over no annexation. Of course, 164 should be considered carefully as an alternate between 507 and none.
- Regarding the final paragraph on hikers: We were always told those were not Village authorized signs. We should recommend that the DGEIS make some statement that the Village will post signs welcoming hikers on the Long Path. That is what good neighbors do.
- I am in concurrence with the comments contained in the referenced report. However, regarding Traffic and Transportation it may be recommended that current ratio of registered vehicles to dwelling units in Kiryas Joel be extrapolated to 2025 and beyond. Also the increase in taxis and public transportation vehicles should be factored in when considering the build out and the impact on the four intersections and the triangular intersections of 17M and 208 as recommended by JMC.

Michael H. Donnelly
Dickover, Donnelly & Donovan, LLP
<u>Michael.Donnelly@dddllplaw.com</u>
(845) 294-9447